

hep-th/0310056
MCTP-03-43
UCSD/PTH 03-13
UCI-2003-44
October 2003

Supergravity Solutions For $AdS_3 \times S^3$ Branes

Jason Kumar ¹

*Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics, University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48105 USA*

Arvind Rajaraman ²

*Department of Physics, University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA 92697*

Abstract

We find a large class of supersymmetric solutions in the $AdS_3 \times S^3$ background with NS fluxes. This two-parameter family of solutions preserves 8 of the 16 supersymmetries of the background.

¹e-mail address:jpkumar@umich.edu

²e-mail address:arajaram@uci.edu

1 Introduction

In recent years, a great deal of progress has been made in the study of both gauge theory and gravity through the AdS/CFT correspondence. This correspondence allows one to relate a theory of quantum gravity in a particular background to a dual conformal field theory living on the boundary. This correspondence is best understood when the bulk space-time background is an anti-de Sitter space. Unfortunately, even in this case, it is quite difficult to study the bulk gravitational theory beyond the supergravity limit. Recently, some progress has been made in this area in several different contexts ([1] [2], among others).

However, the best understood case is the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ solution with NS-NS gauge fields turned on. This background arises from the embedding of a stack of fundamental strings within a stack of NS-5-branes (when one then takes the near-horizon limit). The action of a string in this background is a Wess-Zumino-Witten model on the group manifold $SL(2, R) \times SU(2)$. Since $SL(2, R)$ is non-compact, this theory is still somewhat subtle; nevertheless progress has been made in studying both the open and closed strings in this background ([3][4]).

It is thus useful to construct supergravity solutions for D-branes in AdS_3 , which will then be described in a dual description by a field theory. In the particular case that we shall analyze, the supergravity solution we find is believed to be dual to a defect conformal field theory on the boundary [5].

We will be interested in defects which preserve 8 of the 16 supersymmetries of the $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ background. In [6], it was shown using boundary state arguments that a D3-brane embedded in an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ submanifold of this background is $\frac{1}{2}$ BPS. This is twice the number preserved by a system containing D3-branes, fundamental strings and NS-5 branes, due to the enhancement of supersymmetry [7]. General conditions for the preservation of supersymmetry by branes in AdS_3 were also considered by [8].

Supergravity solutions for general intersecting branes were considered in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Secondly, in [17, 18], brane probes were considered. The equations of motion derived from the Born-Infeld action were then solved to produce stable supersymmetric branes. Unfortunately, the latter approach only produces solutions to linearized supergravity. Instead, we will follow the general approach of [10, 12]. This generalizes the result of [19].

In the section 2, we study the κ -symmetry of D3-branes in $AdS_3 \times S^3$. The gauge-fixing of κ -symmetry will determine which Killing spinors are

preserved by the supergravity solution. In section 3, we use this to solve the Killing equations. In [19], a single $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS solution was found. This restriction on the location of the sources was due to certain assumptions made in order to make the Killing equations more tractable. Using much more general assumptions, we are able to find a solution written in terms of one function, with two non-linear partial differential equations as constraints. We argue that a two-parameter family of solutions exists. Generically, the sources for these solutions do not appear to be localized (at least to first order).

2 Obtaining Supersymmetry from κ -Symmetry

A D-brane typically breaks some of the supersymmetries of the background. The preserved supersymmetries (labelled by Killing spinors) are most easily found by the analysis of κ -symmetry.

In a consistent supersymmetric brane solution, the preserved Killing spinors (when projected onto the brane) must be invariant under a gauged fermionic symmetry known as κ -symmetry. The projector which projects onto these invariant spinors can be found very generally, and from this we can deduce the form of the preserved Killing spinors.

The background metric of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ in global coordinates may be written as

$$ds^2 = d\psi^2 + \cosh^2 \psi (d\omega^2 - \cosh^2 \omega d\tau^2) + d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta (d\phi^2 + \sin^2 \phi d\chi^2) \quad (1)$$

The background B_{NS} fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\chi}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta - \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2} \right) \sin \phi \\ \bar{B}_{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\omega}} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi - \frac{\sinh 2\psi}{2} \right) \cosh \omega \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This background preserves a 16-component Killing spinor.

In [6], it was argued that a D3-brane embedded along an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ submanifold of $AdS_3 \times S^3$ would preserve 8 of the 16 supersymmetries of the background. This embedding may be parameterized as a brane stretching along the $(\tau, \omega, \phi, \chi)$ coordinates of the global coordinate system, with the ψ and θ coordinates acting as transverse scalars.

One needs to turn on a gauge field strength on the D3-brane, which is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\alpha' F_{\phi\chi} &= -\pi p \sin \phi \\ 4\pi\alpha' F_{\tau\omega} &= -\pi q \cosh \omega \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The Born-Infeld Lagrangian for this D3-brane is then

$$L_{DBI} = -T\sqrt{-\det M} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-\det M} &= N(\psi)L(\theta) \cosh \omega \sin \phi \\ L(\theta) &= (\sin^4 \theta + (\theta - \frac{\sin 2\theta}{2} - \pi p)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ N(\psi) &= (\cosh^2 \psi - (\psi + \frac{\sinh 2\psi}{2} - \pi q)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The resulting equations of motion are solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0 &= \pi p \\ \psi_0 &= \pi q \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta_0) &= \sin \theta_0 \\ N(\psi_0) &= \cosh \psi_0 \\ \mathcal{F}_{\phi\chi} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta_0 \sin \phi \\ \mathcal{F}_{\tau\omega} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sinh 2\psi_0 \cosh \omega \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The projection onto κ -invariant spinors is given by [20]

$$d^{p+1}\xi\Gamma_0 = -e^{-\Phi}L_{DBI}^{-1}e^{F^{total}} \wedge X|_{vol}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \bigoplus_n \Gamma_{(2n)} K^n I \\
K\psi &= \psi^* \\
I\psi &= -\imath\psi \\
\Gamma_{(n)} &= \frac{1}{n!} d\xi^{i_n} \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi^{i_1} \Gamma_{i_1 \dots i_n}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_0 &= -\imath(\cos \theta_0 \cosh \psi_0 \gamma_{\tau\omega} K + \sin \theta_0 \cosh \psi_0 \gamma_{\tau\omega\phi\chi} \\
&\quad + \sin \theta_0 \sinh \psi_0 \gamma_{\phi\chi} K + \cos \theta_0 \sinh \psi_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where Γ_0 is traceless and $\Gamma_0^2 = 1$.

The κ -symmetry projector Γ_0 acts on the background killing spinor at the brane. We also need the projector away from the brane. Let ϵ be the Killing spinor preserved in the presence of the brane. We will assume that ϵ is of the form $\epsilon = f\bar{\epsilon}$ where f is a complex function, and $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the Killing spinor of the background $AdS_3 \times S^3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\epsilon} &= \exp\left(\frac{-\psi}{2} \gamma_{\tau\omega} K\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\theta}{2} \gamma_{\phi\chi} K\right) R_0(\phi, \chi, \omega, \tau) \bar{\epsilon}_0 \\
&= \Lambda \bar{\epsilon}(\psi_0, \theta_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Lambda = \exp\left(\frac{-(\psi - \psi_0)}{2} \gamma_{\tau\omega} K\right) \exp\left(\frac{-(\theta - \theta_0)}{2} \gamma_{\phi\chi} K\right) \tag{12}$$

To preserve supersymmetry, we must have $(1 - \Gamma_0)\bar{\epsilon} = 0$ at $\psi = \psi_0$ and $\theta = \theta_0$ (but at arbitrary τ, ω, ϕ and χ), i.e. $(1 - \Gamma_0)\bar{\epsilon}(\psi_0, \theta_0) = 0$.

We can write this globally as $(1 - \Gamma)\epsilon = 0$ where

$$\Gamma = f \Lambda \Gamma_0 \Lambda^{-1} f^{-1} \tag{13}$$

After some algebra, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= -\imath(M + N\gamma_{\tau\omega}K + O\gamma_{\phi\chi}K + P\gamma_{\tau\omega\phi\chi}) \\
M &= \cos\theta \sinh\psi \quad N = \frac{f}{f^*} \cos\theta \cosh\psi \\
O &= \frac{f}{f^*} \sin\theta \sinh\psi \quad P = \sin\theta \cosh\psi
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Note that this projector is now independent of ψ_0 and θ_0 . We can rewrite this projector in the form

$$\gamma_{\tau\omega}K\epsilon = (A + B\gamma_{\psi\theta})\epsilon \tag{15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= -\frac{f^*}{f} \frac{\cosh\psi}{\cosh^2\psi - \sin^2\theta} (\sinh\psi + \imath \cos\theta) \\
B &= \frac{f^*}{f} \frac{\imath \sin\theta}{\cosh^2\psi - \sin^2\theta} (\sinh\psi + \imath \cos\theta)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

3 The Killing Equations

We have found the form of the supersymmetry. We now turn to a more detailed analysis of the supersymmetry³.

The supersymmetry variations are generally of the form

$$\delta\psi_\mu = D_\mu\epsilon + \dots \tag{17}$$

Supersymmetry is preserved if the variations all vanish. Every choice of ϵ for which these variations vanish is called a Killing spinor, and produces a different preserved supersymmetry.

In our case we have already found the form of the Killing spinor. We are thus requiring that the supersymmetry variations vanish for the Killing spinors of the form $\epsilon = f\bar{\epsilon}$, with $(1 - \Gamma)\epsilon = 0$.

We will now explicitly write out the form of the supersymmetry variations, substitute the form of the Killing spinor into them, and find the background solution that preserves this Killing spinor.

³We use the conventions of [19], which arise from [21] and [22]

We begin with a few assumptions to constrain the solution. First, we assume that this solution is self-dual in 6 dimension, ie. $G^{\psi\tau\omega} = G^{\phi\chi\theta}$ and $G^{\tau\omega\theta} = -G^{\psi\phi\chi}$. The killing equations (for the dilatino) then imply that complex axion-dilaton Φ is constant. Furthermore, the equations of motion and generalized Bianchi identity imply that the 5-form field strength F vanishes. We also assume that all fields depend only on the coordinates ψ and θ .

The killing equations in Einstein frame can be written as [23]

$$\partial_{\tilde{\mu}}\epsilon + \frac{1}{4}\omega_{\tilde{\mu}}^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + \frac{i}{192}F_{\tilde{\mu}}^{bcde}\gamma_{bcde}\epsilon^* + \frac{i}{48}e^{\Phi}(G^{abc}\gamma_{\tilde{\mu}abc} - 9G_{\tilde{\mu}}^{ab}\gamma_{ab})\epsilon^* = 0 \quad (18)$$

where G is a complex field whose real and imaginary parts are the RR and NS-NS three-form field strengths, respectively. The value of this field for the background is $\bar{G}^{\psi\tau\omega} = \bar{G}^{\phi\chi\theta} = -i$.

For example, the ψ Killing equation can be written as

$$-\frac{\partial_{\psi}f}{f}\gamma_{\psi}\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\psi}^{\psi\theta}\gamma_{\theta}\epsilon + \frac{i}{2}G^{\psi\tau\omega}\gamma_{\psi\tau\omega}\epsilon^* - \frac{i}{2}G^{\tau\omega\theta}\gamma_{\tau\omega\theta}\epsilon^* = \frac{1}{2}f\frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}\gamma_{\psi\tau\omega}\bar{\epsilon}^* \quad (19)$$

and the other Killing equations take a similar form.

Since we know that ϵ satisfies the κ -symmetry projection, we can use (15) to simplify these equations. The above equation, for example becomes :

$$-\frac{\partial_{\psi}f}{f}\gamma_{\psi}\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\psi}^{\psi\theta}\gamma_{\theta}\epsilon + \frac{i}{2}G^{\psi\tau\omega}(A\gamma_{\psi} + B\gamma_{\theta})\epsilon + \frac{i}{2}G^{\tau\omega\theta}(B\gamma_{\psi} - A\gamma_{\theta})\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}f\frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}(A\gamma_{\psi} + B\gamma_{\theta})\epsilon \quad (20)$$

This is only satisfied if the coefficients of γ_{ψ} and γ_{θ} are each zero. Therefore we get two complex equations. The other Killing equations similarly yield other complex equations.

By taking linear combinations of these equations, we solve for the various field strengths in terms of the spin connections, and also get equations for the spin connections. The solution for the field strengths in terms of the spin connection is then

$$-ie^{\Phi}G^{\tau\omega\theta}(A^2 + B^2) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\omega}^{\omega\psi}B - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\omega}^{\omega\theta}A - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\phi}^{\phi\psi}B + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\phi}^{\phi\theta}A$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} A \cot \theta \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} + \frac{1}{2} B \tanh \psi \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \\
G^{\psi\tau\omega} = & \imath \beta G^{\tau\omega\theta} - \imath \frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} \left(\frac{f}{f^*} + \frac{\partial_{\tilde{\psi}} \log f^2}{A} \right) \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

We also have the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
Re \log f^2 = & \log \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} = \log \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \\
-Im \frac{\partial_{\psi} f}{f} = & \frac{1}{\beta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\psi}^{\psi\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\phi}^{\phi\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} \right] \\
-Im \frac{\partial_{\theta} f}{f} = & \beta \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\theta}^{\theta\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\omega}^{\omega\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \tanh \psi \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \right] \\
& - \frac{e_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}}{\bar{e}_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}} \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} \log \left(\frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} \right) + \left(\frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} - \frac{e_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}}{\bar{e}_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}} \right) \cot \theta = 0 \\
& - \frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} \log \left(\frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} \right) + \left(\frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} - \frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} \right) \tanh \psi = 0 \\
& - \frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} \log \left(\frac{e_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}}{\bar{e}_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}} \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \right) - \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} \left(\frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} - \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \right) \tanh \psi = \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \left(\frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} - \frac{e_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}}{\bar{e}_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}} \right) \tanh \psi \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

We now define

$$X = \frac{e_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}}{\bar{e}_{\theta}^{\tilde{\theta}}} \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \quad Y = \frac{e_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}}{\bar{e}_{\psi}^{\tilde{\psi}}} \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} \quad Z = \frac{e_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}}{\bar{e}_{\omega}^{\tilde{\omega}}} = \frac{e_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}}{\bar{e}_{\phi}^{\tilde{\phi}}} \quad W = \frac{X}{Y} \quad (23)$$

Using the constraints, we can eliminate Z , X , Y in favor of W , to get the equations:

$$\frac{\cos^2 \theta - \sinh^2 \psi}{\cosh^2 \psi} \left(W - \frac{1}{W} \right) = -\frac{\cot \theta}{W^2} \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} W - \tanh \psi \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} W \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} W - \frac{1}{W} \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} W \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} W + \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2} \frac{1}{W} \tanh \psi \partial_{\tilde{\theta}} W \\
& - \frac{2\beta^2}{(1 - \beta^2)^2} \tanh \psi \cot \theta (1 - W^2) - \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} W \cot \theta \partial_{\tilde{\psi}} W = 0 \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Any solution is determined by the function W , which must satisfy the above two non-linear partial differential equations. The constraint equations then allow one to solve for X, Y and Z . With W , this solves for all of the vierbeins. The other equations then allow one to solve for f and G , which gives the full solution.

Note that the constant solution $W = 1$ was the solution found in [19]. Since the equations are non-linear, it is difficult to find the most general consistent solution to both equations. The equations may be expanded around $W = 1$, and we have checked that, at least to third order in $(W - 1)$, there exists a one-parameter family of solutions. This suggests that the full non-linear equations for W in fact exhibits a consistent one-parameter family of solutions, with $W = 1$ being a point on this line.

To linear order, we may write the solution for W as

$$W = 1 + a(\sinh^2 \psi + \cos^2 \theta) \quad (26)$$

where a is a constant of integration. Solving the equations of the vierbein yields another constant of integration, b . We then find to linear order in $(W - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} X &= 1 - \frac{b}{\sin \theta \cosh \psi} - a \sin^2 \theta \\ Y &= 1 - \frac{b}{\sin \theta \cosh \psi} - a \cosh^2 \psi \\ Z &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{b}{\sin \theta \cosh \psi} + \frac{1}{4} a (\sinh^2 \psi + \sin^2 \theta) \\ \text{Re} f^2 &= Z \\ \text{Im} \log f &= \frac{1}{2} a \sinh \psi \cos \theta \\ G^{\tau\omega\theta} &= -G^{\psi\phi\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{b}{\sin^2 \theta \cosh^2 \psi} + a \sin \theta \cosh \psi + i \frac{b \cot \theta \tanh \psi}{\sin \theta \cosh \psi} \right] \\ G^{\psi\tau\omega} &= G^{\phi\chi\theta} = -i + \frac{1}{2} a \sinh \psi \cos \theta - \frac{3i}{4} a (\sinh^2 \psi + \sin^2 \theta) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

In conclusion, we have found an apparently consistent order by order expansion for a two-parameter family of $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS supergravity solutions in an asymptotically $AdS_3 \times S^3$ background.

In this solution, the five-form RR field-strength F vanishes, while the 3-form RR field strength G is turned on. This is a bit puzzling, because

we began with the κ -symmetry projector for a D3-brane wrapped along an $AdS_2 \times S^2$ submanifold, and imposed that projector on the Killing spinors. This would seem to suggest that the source is wrapped on an S^2 of vanishing size (ie., a sphere wrapping the ϕ, χ coordinates, but fixed at $\theta = 0, \pi$). For the case $W = 1$, the solution can be found exactly [19] and this is indeed the case. But for the more general case found above, the source does not appear to be localized at a zero-size sphere (at least to first-order).

We believe that the resolution lies in the fact that the location of the source (ψ_0, θ_0) dropped out of the expression for the κ -symmetry projector. In other words, supersymmetry alone will not give us a unique solution, but rather will include all $\frac{1}{2}$ -BPS solutions that satisfy this projector, even if they arise from a source different from the D3-brane used in our analysis. It would be very interesting to resolve these issues, as well to explicitly construct this solution to all orders.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Duff and K. Intriligator for useful discussions. The work of J. K. is supported by DOE-FG03-97ER40546. J. K. gratefully acknowledges the University of California, San Diego, where much of this work was completed.

References

- [1] D. Berenstein, J. M. Maldacena and H. Nastase, “Strings in flat space and pp waves from $N = 4$ super Yang Mills,” JHEP **0204**, 013 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202021].
- [2] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, “Hidden symmetries of the $AdS(5) \times S^5$ superstring,” arXiv:hep-th/0305116.
- [3] J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0111180].
 J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and J. Son, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 2961 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0005183].
 J. M. Maldacena and H. Ooguri, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 2929 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0001053].

- [4] A. Rajaraman and M. Rozali, “Boundary states for D-branes in AdS(3),” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 026006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0108001].
 B. Ponsot, V. Schomerus and J. Teschner, “Branes in the Euclidean AdS(3),” *JHEP* **0202**, 016 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112198].
 P. Lee, H. Ooguri and J. w. Park, “Boundary states for AdS(2) branes in AdS(3),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **632**, 283 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112188].
 P. Lee, H. Ooguri, J. w. Park and J. Tannenhauser, “Open strings on AdS(2) branes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **610**, 3 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106129].
 A. Rajaraman, “New AdS(3) branes and boundary states,” arXiv:hep-th/0109200.
 A. Giveon, D. Kutasov and A. Schwimmer, “Comments on D-branes in AdS(3),” *Nucl. Phys. B* **615**, 133 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0106005].
 T. Quella, “On the hierarchy of symmetry breaking D-branes in group manifolds,” *JHEP* **0212**, 009 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0209157].
 S. Ribault, “Two AdS(2) branes in the Euclidean AdS(3),” arXiv:hep-th/0210248.
- [5] A. Karch and L. Randall, “Locally localized gravity,” *JHEP* **0105**, 008 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0011156].
 A. Karch and L. Randall, “Open and closed string interpretation of SUSY CFT’s on branes with boundaries,” *JHEP* **0106**, 063 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105132].
 O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman and H. Ooguri, “Holography and defect conformal field theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025009 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0111135].
 C. Bachas, J. de Boer, R. Dijkgraaf and H. Ooguri, “Permeable conformal walls and holography,” *JHEP* **0206**, 027 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0111210].
 J. Erdmenger, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, “Four-dimensional superconformal theories with interacting boundaries or defects,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 025020 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203020].
 N. R. Constable, J. Erdmenger, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, “Intersecting D3-branes and holography,” arXiv:hep-th/0211222.
 N. R. Constable, J. Erdmenger, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, “(De)constructing intersecting M5-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/0212136.
- [6] C. Bachas and M. Petropoulos, “Anti-de-Sitter D-branes,” *JHEP* **0102**, 025 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012234].

- [7] R. Kallosh and J. Kumar, “Supersymmetry enhancement of D-p-branes and M-branes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4934 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9704189].
- [8] A. Giveon and A. Pakman, “More on superstrings in $\text{AdS}(3) \times N$,” *JHEP* **0303**, 056 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302217].
- [9] A. Rajaraman, “Comments on D-branes in flux backgrounds,” arXiv:hep-th/0208085.
- [10] A. Rajaraman, “Supergravity duals for $N = 2$ gauge theories,” *JHEP* **0210**, 009 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0011279].
- [11] A. Rajaraman, “Supergravity solutions for localised brane intersections,” *JHEP* **0109**, 018 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0007241].
- [12] A. Fayyazuddin and D. J. Smith, “Warped AdS near-horizon geometry of completely localized intersections of M5-branes,” *JHEP* **0010**, 023 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0006060].
- [13] B. Brinne, A. Fayyazuddin, T. Z. Husain and D. J. Smith, “ $N = 1$ M5-brane geometries,” *JHEP* **0103**, 052 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012194].
- [14] B. Brinne, A. Fayyazuddin, S. Mukhopadhyay and D. J. Smith, “Supergravity M5-branes wrapped on Riemann surfaces and their QFT duals,” *JHEP* **0012**, 013 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0009047].
- [15] S. A. Cherkis and A. Hashimoto, “Supergravity solution of intersecting branes and AdS/CFT with flavor,” arXiv:hep-th/0210105.
- [16] O. Lunin and S. D. Mathur, “ AdS/CFT duality and the black hole information paradox,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **623**, 342 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109154].
O. Lunin, S. D. Mathur and A. Saxena, “What is the gravity dual of a chiral primary?,” arXiv:hep-th/0211292.
- [17] C. Bachas, M. R. Douglas and C. Schweigert, “Flux stabilization of D-branes,” *JHEP* **0005**, 048 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0003037].
A. Alekseev and V. Schomerus, “RR charges of D2-branes in the WZW model,” arXiv:hep-th/0007096.
A. Y. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, “Brane dynamics in background fluxes and non-commutative geometry,” *JHEP* **0005**,

- 010 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0003187]. A. Y. Alekseev, S. Fredenhagen, T. Quella and V. Schomerus, “Non-commutative gauge theory of twisted D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **646**, 127 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205123].
- [18] G. Sarkissian and M. Zamaklar, “Diagonal D-branes in product spaces and their Penrose limits,” arXiv:hep-th/0308174.
- [19] J. Kumar and A. Rajaraman, “New supergravity solutions for branes in $AdS(3) \times S^{*3}$,” Phys. Rev. D **67**, 125005 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212145].
- [20] A. Achucarro, J. M. Evans, P. K. Townsend and D. L. Wiltshire, “Super P-Branes,” Phys. Lett. B **198**, 441 (1987).
M. J. Duff, “Supermembranes: The First Fifteen Weeks,” Class. Quant. Grav. **5**, 189 (1988).
M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B. E. Nilsson and A. Westerberg, “The Dirichlet super-three-brane in ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **490**, 163 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9610148].
M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “D-brane actions with local kappa symmetry,” Phys. Lett. B **393**, 311 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9610249].
M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B. E. Nilsson, P. Sundell and A. Westerberg, “The Dirichlet super-p-branes in ten-dimensional type IIA and IIB supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **490**, 179 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9611159].
E. Bergshoeff and P. K. Townsend, “Super D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **490**, 145 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9611173].
M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “Gauge-invariant and gauge-fixed D-brane actions,” Nucl. Phys. B **495**, 99 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9612080].
E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh, T. Ortin and G. Papadopoulos, “kappa-symmetry, supersymmetry and intersecting branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **502**, 149 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9705040].
K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, JHEP **0206**, 025 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204054].
- [21] E. Bergshoeff, “p-branes, D-branes and M-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/9611099.

- [22] R. Kallosh, J. Kumar and A. Rajaraman, “Special conformal symmetry of worldvolume actions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 6452 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9712073].
- [23] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, “Type II p-branes: The Brane scan revisited,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **390**, 276 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9207060].